



Pearson HE Academic Appeals Procedure

Reviewed by: **Dr Mark Smithers - Dean & Group Director for Higher Education**

Document owner: **HE Quality & Standards**

Date approved: **July 2018**

Latest revision: **August 2022**

To be reviewed: **August 2023**

Approval Committee: **Higher Education Committee**

1. Purpose and Scope

New City College academic appeals procedure is informed by the Office of the Independent Adjudicator ([OIA](#)) and QAA [UK Quality Code for Higher Education](#) . This procedure applies to Pearson HNC/Ds and the Level 5 Diploma in Education and Training.

1.1 Purpose

The purpose of this procedure is to allow New City College students on higher education programmes the opportunity to raise concerns about their assessment results and the outcomes of Boards and Panels which make decisions on progression and awards.

Such concerns are taken very seriously by the College and its awarding organisation.

The procedure is designed to enable a student's concerns to be considered fully and addressed in a timely and appropriate manner.

The academic appeals procedure will be published on the College website and communicated to all HE students as part of their induction process.

1.2 Scope

Robust mechanisms exist at New City College to ensure that assessment is fair and appropriate. Assessment is conducted carefully and is subject to internal verification and samples are checked by External Examiners who oversee the assessment process. The academic appeals procedure may be instigated if a student wishes to make an appeal against an assessment decision based upon their academic achievement or progress.

1.2.2 In line with the QAA Quality Code Section B9 Academic Appeals, an academic appeal may be defined as:

'A request for a review of a decision of an academic body charged with making decisions on student progress, assessment and awards'.

An academic appeal differs from a complaint and therefore appeals and complaints are considered under different procedures. In line with the QAA Quality Code, a complaint may be defined as: *'The expression of a specific concern about matters that affect the quality of a student's learning opportunities'*. For example, a formal expression of dissatisfaction made by either a single student or group of students about the provision of their programme of study, related academic service, department or any service provided by the College. The Appeals Procedure should not be used to raise complaints.

2. The Right of Appeal

2.1 Our commitment

Any student who submits an appeal under this procedure will not be disadvantaged for having done so. Appeals will be handled with sensitivity with due regard to the confidentiality of all parties. Details will only be shared with staff who need to know in order to investigate and respond. The Appeal will be investigated by the Group Deputy Director: HE. Where an Appeal relates to a decision of the Assessment Board, the reconvened Assessment Board will include a member of curriculum staff independent from the programme area concerned.

2.2 Grounds for academic appeal may include:

1. Procedural irregularities in the assessment process;
2. Extenuating circumstances that you could not make known to the Assessment Board.

Grounds for academic appeal are not normally considered in relation to academic judgement or decisions made on the quality of work.

2.3 Other matters of dispute shall be termed “complaint” (see 1.2.2) and are subject to the College Complaints Procedure¹.

In the event of justifiable grounds for both appeal and complaint, the Group Deputy Director: HE will confirm the method by which both the appeal and complaint shall be resolved. This shall be determined in consultation with the appellant/complainant.

In the case of handling both complaint and appeal it may be that one procedure is suspended, pending the completion of the other.

3. The Appeals Procedure: Making an Appeal

The appeals form to use will be available on the College website.

3.1. Informal Stage

The informal resolution stage seeks to resolve straightforward concerns swiftly. At any meeting the student has the right to appoint a representative to accompany them.

If your appeal relates to a grade you have received during the year, please contact your Senior Curriculum Manager/Group Curriculum Director and raise your concerns in the first instance.

If your appeal relates to the decision of an Assessment Board please email Higher.Education@ncclondon.ac.uk and provide a summary of your grounds for appeal.

The outcome of the informal stage should be one of the following:

¹ The New City College Complaints procedure is available in both paper and digital formats and published on the College website.

1. The student is content not to continue with the appeal
2. Proceed to formal stage

3.2 Formal Stage

The table below summarises the appeals procedure for Pearson HE qualifications.

| Nature of appeal | Form to be completed | Managed by | Reason for appeal |
|-------------------|--|--|---|
| Formal Stage | College appeals form published on website | NCC – Group Deputy Director: HE | Procedural irregularity, perception of bias, extenuating circumstances which could not be made known. |
| Appeal: NCC | College appeals form published on website Outline reason for appeal | NCC - Dean and Group Director of Higher Education | There is evidence of inadequate consideration at the formal stage Appeal must be made within two weeks of the formal stage outcome letter date. |
| Appeal to Pearson | College's appeals procedure has been utilised | Pearson Support https://support.pearson.com/uk/s/qualification-contactus | Dissatisfaction with the outcome of the College's appeals procedure. Appeal must be made within two weeks of the formal stage outcome letter date. Appeal will consider whether the College: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • used procedures that were consistent with Pearson requirements • applied the procedures properly and fairly in arriving at judgements |

| | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----|---|
| Appeal to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA) | Letter to the OIA | OIA | Dissatisfaction with the outcome of the College Appeals procedure |
|---|-------------------|-----|---|

4. **Academic appeals procedure – further information and deadlines**

An appeal must be made in writing within 2 weeks following notification/publication of results.

At each stage of an appeal you will be advised of the next stage of appeal or review. Once you have exhausted the appeals procedure the College will issue a Completion of Procedures letter. This should be provided to you within 21 working days.

Academic Appeals will consider at each stage whether:

- the original decision was procedurally correct;
- the original decision was taken on the basis of the correct information;
- there is new, additional information to be considered;
- there are valid reasons for this information not being presented for consideration previously;
- the appellant has been treated fairly.

4.2 Once the appeal stage has been completed, you are entitled to ask the Office of the Independent Adjudicator ([OIA](#)), the independent ombudsman service of last resort, to look at your appeal. All applications to the OIA must be made within twelve months of the date of the Completion of Procedures letter issued by the College to the student.

4.3 The [OIA](#) considers complaints about the outcome of the College's appeals process from people who remain dissatisfied at the conclusion of the College's appeals procedure. The [OIA](#) looks at issues such as whether the College followed its procedures, whether these procedures were reasonable, and whether the College's final decision was reasonable in all the circumstances. The College will respond to any [OIA](#) enquiries in line with [OIA](#) timeframes.

The [OIA](#) cannot normally look at appeals:

- where the student has not progressed through all stages of the College's appeals procedures;
- where the appeal refers to matters more than three years old;
- where the Completion of Procedures letter is received outside the twelve-month time period.

5. **Annual review and publication of academic appeals**

5.1 The academic appeal procedure is approved and reviewed annually by the HE Committee. As part of this review a report on appeals is considered by the HE Committee. The aim of this is to ensure the academic appeal procedure continues to meet developments in the HE environment and positively

contributes to and complements other quality assurance systems informing, where relevant, any improvements required to enhance the student experience.

- 5.2 The HE Student representatives are invited to attend the annual review and contribute to any decision making in relation to changes to the procedure.
- 5.3 Anonymised appeals summary reports will be considered by the HE Committee, and made available to Governors and to the awarding organisation as required.

Process

The Appeal Panel membership will not include anyone who was involved in the Certification Process. The Panel will consist of at least three members including:

- Director level staff or above (who will Chair the meeting)
- A curriculum representative
- A member of the Quality department

All members will be independent of the programme of study.

Proceedings

- a. The members of the Panel have a preliminary discussion without the student and the student's representative;
- b. The student and the student's representative join the meeting and the Chair introduces all those present. Student representatives do not contribute to the discussion and are not able to ask questions concerning the appeal or the process. The student representative's role is to assist the student in understanding questions and discussions as well as to translate, if required;
- c. The Chair explains the order of proceedings to the student;
- d. The Chair then invites the student to put forward any additional evidence if he or she wishes to do so, including any mitigation;
- e. Members of the panel are invited to put questions to the student;
- f. The student and the student's representative are then asked to leave the meeting;
- g. The Committee then deliberates and comes to a decision.

Following the panel meeting, the student will be informed in writing of the panel decision and of the student's right to appeal against the decision to:

1. Pearson (the awarding organisation) and then, if still dissatisfied with the outcome,
2. the OIA as detailed within Section 3.2 and 4.0.

Where a student does not attend and does not provide evidence of mitigation for non-attendance, the Panel will continue deliberation in the student's absence.